ACS APPLIED MATERIALS & INTERFACES

www.acsami.org

ACCESS

Research Article

¹ Colorimetric Detection of SARS-CoV-2 Using Plasmonic Biosensors ² and Smartphones

³ Elsa M. Materón,* Faustino R. Gómez, Mariana B. Almeida, Flavio M. Shimizu, Ademar Wong, ⁴ Kelcilene B. R. Teodoro, Filipe S. R. Silva, Manoel J. A. Lima, Monara Kaelle S. C. Angelim,

s Matias E. Melendez, Nelson Porras, Pedro M. Vieira, Daniel S. Correa, Emanuel Carrilho,

 \sim 11 N Ol \sim 1 D \sim 1 D \sim 1 D \sim 1 D \sim 1

6 Osvaldo N. Oliveira, Jr., Ricardo B. Azevedo, and Débora Goncalves
Cite This: https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.2c15407
Read Online

Article Recommendations

7 ABSTRACT: Low-cost, instrument-free colorimetric tests were 8 developed to detect SARS-CoV-2 using plasmonic biosensors with 9 Au nanoparticles functionalized with polyclonal antibodies (f-10 AuNPs). Intense color changes were noted with the naked eye 11 owing to plasmon coupling when f-AuNPs form clusters on the 12 virus, with high sensitivity and a detection limit of 0.28 PFU mL⁻¹ 13 (PFU stands for plaque-forming units) in human saliva. Plasmon 14 coupling was corroborated with computer simulations using the 15 finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) method. The strategies 16 based on preparing plasmonic biosensors with f-AuNPs are robust 17 to permit SARS-CoV-2 detection via dynamic light scattering and 18 UV–vis spectroscopy without interference from other viruses, such 19 as influenza and dengue viruses. The diagnosis was made with a

III Metrics & More



SI Supporting Information

20 smartphone app after processing the images collected from the smartphone camera, measuring the concentration of SARS-CoV-2. 21 Both image processing and machine learning algorithms were found to provide COVID-19 diagnosis with 100% accuracy for saliva 22 samples. In subsidiary experiments, we observed that the biosensor could be used to detect the virus in river waters without 23 pretreatment. With fast responses and requiring small sample amounts (only 20 μ L), these colorimetric tests can be deployed in any 24 location within the point-of-care diagnosis paradigm for epidemiological control.

25 **KEYWORDS**: gold nanoparticles, localized surface plasmon resonance, plasmonic coupling, SARS-CoV-2, point-of-care, machine learning, 26 image processing, portable sensor

1. INTRODUCTION

27 Mass testing for viral diseases remains relevant given the 28 persistence of contamination with the severe acute respiratory 29 syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2 virus). Most diagnostic 30 tests require cheaper detection methods than prevailing molecular techniques, such as reverse transcription-quantitative 31 32 polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR), isothermal amplification-based methods, and CRISPR-based diagnostics.¹ Antigen-33 34 based detection (antigen test) has become commonplace in 35 lateral flow immunoassays (LFI) or immunostrips,² but it 36 achieves less sensitive responses than the reverse-transcription 37 polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR).³ Current tests employ 38 mostly blood and nasopharyngeal samples, which are 39 uncomfortable for many patients. Less invasive sample 40 collection is preferable, as saliva sampling is accessible to 41 self-collect, particularly in fragile and vulnerable patient 42 populations.⁴ The pathogen levels in saliva are comparable to 43 those in nasopharyngeal samples, with the advantage of a small variability across trials.⁵ In addition, the costs of collection and 44 storage are also reduced. 45

Challenges are often found in processing and avoiding ⁴⁶ degradation in saliva, which has hampered diagnostic tools.⁶ ⁴⁷ Furthermore, effective detection of SARS-CoV-2 in saliva ⁴⁸ requires high sensitivity because of its enzymes that may ⁴⁹ destabilize nucleic acid and inhibit proteases.^{7,8} For SARS- ⁵⁰ CoV-2, most infectious saliva and cough specimens have virus ⁵¹ loads near 10⁶ PFU mL⁻¹ (PFU stands for plaque-forming ⁵² units), indicating that 10–100 μ L droplets could deposit 10⁴– ⁵³ 10⁵ PFU of infectious material.^{9–11} The minimal contagious ⁵⁴ dose in humans ranges from 1 to 5 PFU.¹²

Received: August 26, 2022 Accepted: November 8, 2022



Although SARS-CoV-2 infection occurs predominantly 56 57 through the respiratory tract, the entry of virus into the 58 bloodstream compromises other organs, and viral RNA has 59 been detected in the feces of infected individuals, even after 60 respiratory symptoms have diminished.¹³ Likewise, SARS-61 CoV-2 has been detected for prolonged periods in wastewater 62 treatment plants¹⁴ and river waters.¹⁵ Excretions through feces 63 occur due to the viral infection into the gastrointestinal tract 64 via the angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) receptor 65 expressed by epithelial cells in the gastrointestinal system,¹⁶ 66 and even via urine and saliva. Hence, virus particles can be 67 dragged to treatment plants and may not be cleared during 68 water treatment.¹⁶ Therefore, detecting SARS-CoV-2 is not 69 only relevant for diagnosis in humans but also for verifying 70 possible contamination of water resources.

Pathogens and other biomarkers can be detected with 71 ⁷² several principles, including electrical,¹⁷ electrochemical,¹⁸ ⁷³ optical,¹⁹ and thermoplasmonic chips.²⁰ Colorimetric tests 74 are preferred in many scenarios owing to the simplicity of the 75 analysis.²¹ Nevertheless, it is challenging if the detection 76 processes must yield significant color changes. For colorimetric 77 tests, the use of nanoparticles is noteworthy,²² including Ag ⁷⁸ nanoparticles,²³ Au nanoparticles (AuNPs),²⁴ quantum dots,²⁵
 ⁷⁹ magnetic nanoparticles,²⁶ and Au nanorods,²⁷ which have been 80 used to detect SARS-CoV-2 virus or IgG antibodies with 81 localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR) and surface-82 enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS). AuNPs are known for 83 their chemical stability, easy modification, and bioconjugation 84 of biomolecules, such as DNA, antibody, enzymes, and other 85 proteins.²⁸ For example, immunogenic B cell epitopes can be 86 attached to AuNPs to detect COVID-19-specific IgG where 87 the optical properties of AuNPs are exploited.²⁹ Also, colloidal 88 Au can be prepared with controllable sizes using the well-89 known citrate reduction method.³⁰ AuNPs show a high-surface 90 density of free electrons, from which LSPR has emerged.³¹ 91 LSPR is produced by the collective oscillation of surface 92 electrons induced by visible light, manifested by an extinction 93 band in the visible region. It is relevant that LSPR depends on 94 the refractive index of the surrounding medium and the 95 interparticle distance, providing the basis for colorimetric 96 plasmonic sensors.^{32–34} In such colorimetric sensors, observa-97 tion with the naked eye can be done with changes in the liquid 98 phase within 5 min, *i.e.*, one may distinguish between positive 99 and negative results.³⁵ AuNPs have been employed in 100 detecting viruses such as Zika (ZIKV), Ebola (EBOV), 101 Influenza A virus (H1N1), and severe acute respiratory 102 syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2).³¹ Antibodies coupled 103 to AuNPs can bind to the viral antigen leading to 104 agglomeration of NPs, thus shifting its color from red to 105 blue.²⁴

Herein, low-cost, instrument-free, fast-response plasmonic biosensors were designed to detect SARS-CoV-2 in saliva and river water without sample pretreatment. Detection was primarily based on naked-eye colorimetry. Meanwhile, more detailed considerations of the detection processes were studied using UV-vis spectroscopy. For naked-eye colorimetry, we used a free smartphone application to process the images acquired with the smartphone camera, thus allowing instant results similar to other examples described in the literature (see Its Table S1 in the Supporting Information). The mechanisms responsible for the colloidal nanoparticle clustering around the river, then allowing their detection with high sensitivity, are investigated for the first time using theoretical simulations with the finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) method. The most 119 relevant contribution of this work is associated with the fast 120 detection of SARS-CoV-2 without requiring instruments, with 121 the high accuracy warranted by treating images with machine 122 learning algorithms. 123

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Gold(III) chloride trihydrate (HAuCl₄·3H₂O, ≥99.9% purity), 11- 124 mercaptoundecanoic acid (MUA, ≥95%), sodium citrate dihydrate 125 (\geq 99%), N-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-N'-ethylcarbodiimide hydro- 126 chloride (EDC, ≥98%), N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS, 98%), bovine 127 serum albumin (BSA, ≥98%), poly(ethylene glycol) methyl ether 128 thiol, average Mn 6000 (PEG-SH), and phosphate-buffered saline 129 powder (pH 7.4) (P3813-10PAK) were purchased from Sigma- 130 Aldrich. Recombinant anti-SARS-CoV-2 Spike glycoprotein S1 131 antibody (ab273073), recombinant human coronavirus SARS-CoV- 132 2 Spike glycoproteins S1 (Active) (ab273068), and RBD (Active) 133 (ab273065) were acquired from Abcam. Polyclonal antibody against 134 the N-terminal domain of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein from rabbits 135 was kindly provided by Virology and Microscopy Laboratory, 136 Universidade de Brasilia, Brazil. H1N1 California (OPPA01974) 137 and Dengue envelope-3 (DENV, OPPA02454) proteins were 138 acquired from Aviva Systems Biology. Gold seed particles were 139 prepared using a modified Turkevich synthesis³⁶ by adding gold 140 chloride via sodium citrate reduction. The growth of Au nanoparticles 141 (AuNPs) occurred after mixing two solutions, viz. 2.2×10^{-3} mol L⁻¹ 142 citrate and 25×10^{-3} mol L⁻¹ HAuCl₄, seven times with a 30-min 143 break.¹ The solution containing AuNPs was cooled by adding 50 mL 144 of ultrapure water. The solution was kept at 4 °C in the dark for 145 further work. 146

2.1. Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) and Field- 147 Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM-FEG). TEM 148 images of AuNPs were collected using a Philips CM200 Transmission 149 Electron microscope designed to obtain high-resolution images with a 150 Super Twin polar piece using an electron beam energy of 25 keV. 151 TEM sample grids were prepared by depositing 10 mL of AuNP 152 suspension onto carbon-coated copper grids, followed by air-drying at 153 room temperature. The average diameter was determined using 154 ImageJ software. SEM-FEG images were obtained using a JEOL JSM- 155 7500F microscope with operating software PC-SEM equipped with 156 secondary and back-scattered electron detectors. Chemical analysis by 157 energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) was performed using an 158 UltraDry detector from Thermo Scientific with NSS 2.3 operating 159 software. Colloidal AuNPs were washed twice, diluted in ultrapure 160 water, and deposited onto glassy carbon supports for later drying at 161 room temperature. 162

2.2. UV/Vis Spectroscopy (UV/VIS) and Dynamic Light 163 Scattering (DLS) Measurements. The UV-vis spectra were 164 collected using a spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Nanodrop 165 2000/2000c) from 200-800 nm using a 1-cm quartz cuvette. The 166 nanoparticles were washed twice in 5×10^{-3} mol L⁻¹ phosphate- 167 buffered saline (PBS) at pH 7.4, and 20 μ L of AuNP solutions were 168 diluted in 980 μ L of 5×10^{-3} mol L⁻¹ PBS at pH 7.4. All AuNP 169 suspensions were analyzed by dynamic light scattering (DLS) using a 170 Nano ZS Malvern Zetasizer using the same washing procedure 171 described before for the UV-vis measurements. 172

2.3. Attenuated Total Reflectance (ATR). The attenuated total 173 reflectance spectra were recorded from 4300 to 600 cm⁻¹ using a 174 Bruker Vertex 70 FTIR spectrometer equipped with an attenuated 175 total reflectance (ATR) accessory. The measurements were 176 performed using a blank citrate/AuNP solution. For each measure- 177 ment, the AuNPs were washed twice in ultrapure water to remove 178 excess PBS and reduce its signals. The samples were prepared by 179 depositing 20 μ L of the AuNP suspension onto the crystal, and 180 measurements were acquired for the colloidal samples at a resolution 181 of 4 cm⁻¹ with 64 co-added scans/spectrum.

2.4. Inactivation of SARS-CoV-2 Virus and Sample Prepara- 183 tion. The inactivated virus samples used in our studies were obtained 184 from HIAE-02 SARS-CoV-2/SP02/human/2020/BRA (GenBank: 185

186 616 MT126808.1) isolated from the second confirmed case in Brazil. 187 The viral stocks of SARS-CoV-2 were propagated in Vero cell lines, 188 and the supernatant was harvested at 2-3 days post-infection. Viral 189 titers were determined by plaque assays on Vero cells, in which the 190 number of plaque-forming units (PFU) represents the viral quantity. 191 Vero CCL-81 cells were cultivated in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's 192 medium (DMEM) (10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1% penicillin-193 streptomycin) and incubated at 37 °C with a 5% CO₂ atmosphere. As 194 a control, a conditioned medium of Vero cells was used after being 195 treated in the same way but without the virus. Virus inactivation was 196 performed using a CL1000 UVP crosslinker under UV irradiation in a 197 microbiological safety cabinet, following Patterson et al.³⁷ The virus 198 stock was added (1500 μ L) on 100 mm culture dishes and placed 199 without its lid 6 cm below the UV bulbs. With this procedure, the 200 viruses are inactivated by UV irradiation, and their protein structure is 201 preserved.^{38,39} Inactivated SARS-CoV-2 was obtained from the 202 Institute of Biology, University of Campinas (Brazil). Subsequently, 203 a stock solution of the virus was prepared with 10 μ L diluted in 990 204 μ L 5.0 × 10⁻³ mol L⁻¹ PBS at pH 7.4, 7000 PFU.

2.5. Modification of Colloidal Au Nanoparticles. Twenty-four 205 206 microtubes with 1 mL of AuNPs each were used with the following procedure: 1.0 mL of nanoparticles was added to 56.7 μ L of 1.9 × 2.07 208 10^{-3} mol L⁻¹ MUA (dissolved in ethanol) under stirring at 28 °C for 209 2 h. Then, 55 μ L of 2.0 × 10⁻⁴ mol L⁻¹ PEG-SH was added to the 210 solution of AuNPs/MUA (dissolved in ultrapure water) for another 2 211 h under stirring at 28 °C.⁴⁰ Volumes of 19 μ L of 2.5 × 10⁻³ mol L⁻¹ 212 EDC and 35 μ L of 1.0 × 10⁻² mol L⁻¹ NHS in ultrapure water were 213 added to the AuNPs/MUA/PEG-SH solution, which was stirred at 28 214 °C for 20 min. The mixture was then centrifuged at 7.300 rpm for 20 215 min at 15 °C. The AuNPs were resuspended in 5×10^{-3} mol L⁻¹ PBS 216 at pH 7.4 with SARS-CoV-2 spike polyclonal antibody (ab) added to 217 obtain a final concentration of 2 μ g mL⁻¹ (AuNPs/MUA/PEG-ab), 218 followed by incubation overnight at 28 °C. The AuNPs/MUA/PEG-219 ab solution was centrifuged for 20 min at 7300 rpm at 15 °C. The 220 supernatant was discarded, and the sediment was resuspended in 200 221 μ L of PBS and 300 μ L of 0.5% BSA at a final percentage of 0.2%. The 222 f-AuNP (AuNPs/MUA/PEG/ab/BSA) solution was centrifuged, 223 resuspended twice to remove antibodies in excess, and stored at 4 °C. 2.6. Detection of SARS-CoV-2 in Saliva and Spiked River 224 225 Samples. 2.6.1. Synthetic and Human Saliva. Synthetic saliva was 226 prepared with 0.228 g of CaCl₂·2H₂O, 0.061 g of MgCl₂·6H₂O, 1.017 227 g of NaCl, 0.504 g of K₂CO₃, 0.272 g of Na₂HPO₄·12H₂O, and 0.273 228 g of $NaH_2PO_4 \cdot H_2O^{-41}$ All reagents were diluted in 1 L and used only 229 for comparison with human saliva with a negative Covid test.⁴ Then, 230 a volume of 20 μL of artificial saliva was diluted in 960 μL of 5.0 \times 231 10^{-3} mol L⁻¹ PBS and 20 μ L of the f-AuNP solution was added. This 232 solution was compared with the human saliva of healthy donors 233 without symptoms and negative tests, obtaining comparable results. In 234 samples with saliva from a donor tested negative, different 235 concentrations of the inactivated virus were spiked to the samples. 236 In the proof-of-concept experiments, a similar procedure was 237 performed using eleven samples of human saliva: five from individuals 238 without symptoms (S_1-S_5) , three from volunteers with no symptoms 239 and negative PCR tests (S_{p} , S_{m} , and S_{B}), and three from volunteers 240 with positive Covid tests (\dot{C}_1 , C_2 , and C_3). The saliva donors followed 241 the protocols required by pharmacies for tests, viz., they should not eat, drink coffee, or brush their teeth for 2 h prior to saliva collection. 242 243 Also, they should not use lipstick, gloss, or menthol products. Samples were collected in 2 mL tubes and measured immediately.⁴² 244 2.6.2. River Samples. River water samples were collected from the 245 246 Gregorio River (GPS coordinate: 21°59'11.0" S 47°52'52.1" W) 247 located in the city of São Carlos-SP (Brazil). A volume of 50 μ L of a 248 river sample was diluted in 930 μ L of 5.0 \times 10⁻³ mol L⁻¹ PBS to 249 which 20 μ L of the f-AuNP solution was added.⁴³ Then, different 250 concentrations of the inactivated virus were spiked into the river water 251 samples.

252 **2.7. Quantification of Proteins on AuNPs.** The AuNP-253 antibody solutions were centrifuged at 7300 rpm for 20 min, the 254 supernatant was removed, and the remaining pellet was resuspended 255 with 5×10^{-3} mol L⁻¹ PBS. This procedure was repeated three times

to remove unreacted EDS and NHS, with only AuNP-antibody 256 complexes left. The washed solution was diluted at 1:5 and 1:10 vol/ 257 vol, and the antibody concentration was calculated using the 258 bicinchoninic acid (BCA) protein assay according to the manufac- 259 turer's protocol (Pierce). The absorbance was measured for diluted 260 solutions at 562 nm using a NanoDrop 2000c Spectrophotometer in 261 the cuvette mode, and the concentration of the bound antibody was 262 calculated by multiplying the concentration by the dilution factor. 263 Mean and standard deviations for the concentration were calculated 264 considering both samples. The BCA assay showed an average 265 antibody concentration of 9.3 \pm 0.4 μ g mL⁻¹ (n = 2) on the surface of 266 AuNPs. This value should be considered with care once the number 267 of antibodies may be overestimated in the BCA protein assay and 268 enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA);⁴⁴ it serves to confirm 269 modification for nanoparticles coated with antibodies.⁴⁵ 270

2.8. Smartphone-Based Detection and Statistical Analysis. 271 Smartphone-based sensing was conducted by getting images directly 272 from the microcentrifuge tube using a Samsung smartphone (Galaxy 273 J8, 16 megapixels camera, with Android 10). The RGB (red, green, 274 blue) mean values were taken as an analytical signal in real-time 275 through the free application (App) Color Grab (Loomatix, version 276 3.9.2), available for Android systems. For acquiring the digital images 277 in microtubes, we used a built-in polylactic acid (PLA) support 278 fabricated with a three-dimensional (3D) printer (Creality Ender-3) 279 with the following dimensions: height of 5 cm and a 10-cm distance 280 between the smartphone camera and the microtube (see Figure S1 in 281 the Supporting Information). A printable copy of the file (.stl) is 282 available in the Supporting Information (Figure S1). The images were 283 analyzed with the software ImageJ using a 50-pixel circular region, and 284 the RGB (red, green, blue) values were used as the analytical response 285 (X). The best linear relationship to X is shown in eq 1, where the 286 letters B and R denote the blue channel and red channel, respectively, 287 and the subscripts "s" and "b" correspond to the values of the sample 288 or standard and the analytical blank, respectively. The blue channel 289 (B_s) and red channel (R_s) are the values of the sample, while the blank 290 of the blue channel is $B_{\rm b}$ and the blank of the red channel is $R_{\rm b}$ (See 291 Figure S1). 292

$$X = \frac{B_{\rm s}}{R_{\rm s}} - \frac{B_{\rm b}}{R_{\rm b}} \tag{1}_{293}$$

The limit of detection (LOD) of the assay was calculated based on the 294 standard deviation of the blank or the control sample (SD_b) and the 295 angular coefficient (*b*—slope) obtained from the analytical curve 296 (ICH 2005),⁴⁶ according to eq 2. 297

$$LOD = \frac{3.3 \times SD_b}{b} \tag{2}_{298}$$

The experiments were conducted in triplicate, and the relevant data 299 were expressed as the mean \pm SD. The statistical analyses were 300 performed using Origin 9.0 and Statistica 13.5.0.17 (TIBCO) 301 software. 302

2.9. FDTD Simulations. The absorption spectra and electro- 303 magnetic field distribution for isolated AuNPs and aggregates were 304 calculated using a software package, FDTD Solutions by Ansys 305 Lumerical Solutions. The simulation region was a 2 μ m cube 306 surrounded by a perfectly matched layer (PML) filled with water 307 (refractive index RI = 1.33). The mesh size was set to 0.5 nm in all 308 spatial dimensions. The AuNPs were simulated as homogenous 309 spheres of 31 nm diameter, and the dielectric function of Au was 310 adopted from the experimental data obtained by Johnson and 311 Christy.⁴⁷ The molecular linkers and antibodies anchored to the Au 312 surface were simulated as a dielectric shell with a refractive index of 313 1.4 and 1.5 nm thick. The virus was simulated as a homogeneous 314 dielectric sphere with a 100-nm diameter and a refractive index of 315 1.54, surrounded by a 10-nm thick dielectric shell with a refractive 316 index of 1.46.48 Functionalized AuNP (f-AuNP) clusters were 317 randomly placed on the virus surface to simulate the aggregate 318 system. The algorithm for generating the clusters first added a 319 functionalized NP onto the virus surface from a randomized position. 320



Figure 1. (A) Schematic design for modification of AuNPs, throughout the various steps. (B) UV–vis spectra for the distinct steps in the bioconjugation (AuNPs after addition of: step 1 MUA, step 2 PEG-SH, step 3 EDC/NHS, step 4 antibody, and step 5 BSA). (C) ATR spectra for AuNPs coated with MUA, then MUA–PEG and MUA–PEG-anti-SARS-CoV-2. (D) ζ -potential at each step of AuNP bioconjugation and in the presence of 3.2 μ g mL⁻¹ S protein of SARS-CoV-2 virus.

321 Subsequently, a second NP was located randomly around the initial 322 particle. The cluster was grown until a specified number of NPs was 323 reached. The cluster formation randomly achieved the interparticle distance between 1 and 2 nm. A total-field scattered field (TFSF) 324 325 source with a wavelength ranging from 350 to 850 nm was used to 326 illuminate the systems. The incident plane wave was x-polarized, and 327 the propagation direction was set along the minus z-axis. The electric 328 field strength of the incident irradiation was set at 1.0 V m⁻¹. A 3D 329 frequency-domain field profile monitor and a group analysis (both 330 inside the TSFS source) were used to record the electric field and 331 calculate the absorption cross-section, respectively. All of the 332 absorption cross-section spectra are reported as a dimensionless 333 Mie efficiency calculated by dividing the optical cross-section by 334 $N\pi R^2$, where *R* is the radius of the NPs and *N* is the number of NPs in 335 a cluster.⁴

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

f1

3.1. Bioconjugation and Images of AuNPs. The FEG-336 337 SEM and TEM images show spherical AuNPs with an average 338 diameter of 31 nm (Figure S2A,B in the Supporting 339 Information). The high purity of AuNPs was confirmed with 340 a strong signal of elemental Au in Figure S2C (EDS analysis), 341 with stability in the pH range between 3.0 and 8.0 in 5.0 \times 342 10⁻³ mol L⁻¹ PBS, as indicated in Figure S2D. The AuNPs 343 were functionalized by covalent coupling of antibodies using a 344 mercaptoundecanoic acid binder (MUA), following the 345 procedure depicted in Figure 1A. The whole procedure was 346 monitored using UV-vis spectroscopy and ζ -potential 347 measurements. MUA was bound covalently onto AuNPs 348 through its thiol groups to the Au surface; its quaternary 349 ammonium counterion adsorbed on the Stern layer provided 350 stability against aggregation.⁵⁰ AuNPs coated with MUA had a 351 35-nm diameter, which confirmed an increase in shell 352 thickness. MUA coating is not expected to be homogeneous 353 but on patches according to dissipative particle dynamics 354 simulations.⁵¹ Figure 1B shows the plasmonic peak of 355 monodisperse AuNPs at 524 nm shifted to 526 nm for

AuNPs-MUA,⁵² with the increased absorbance after MUA 356 modification occurring due to aggregation of AuNPs. PEG-SH 357 occupies the remaining free AuNP surface, exhibiting a radial 358 conformation since the thiol group has a stronger affinity for 359 the AuNP surface than PEG chains, thus removing these from 360 the NP surface.⁵¹ A decrease in absorbance was observed upon $_{361}$ adding PEG⁵³ due to aggregation of polydisperse PEG- $_{362}$ AuNPs⁵⁴ and after activating terminal carboxylic acid head- 363 groups by EDC/NHS at pH ~ 6.0. The pK_a of EDC is 6.0, and $_{364}$ that of MUA on Au is 4.5-6.0. Hence, deprotonation of 11- 365 MUA and protonation of EDC seem to be important in the 366 activation reaction.⁵⁵ The formation of nanoensembles can 367 cause a decrease in absorbance due to their activating ability.⁵⁶ 368 EDC/NHS binding has the advantage of providing a stable, 369 biocompatible, covalent bond.⁵⁷ The activation mechanism of 370 carboxyl groups mediated by EDC/NHS involves the 371 formation of an adduct, an O-acylisourea derivative, between 372 EDC and the carboxyl group of MUA.⁵⁸ The O-acylurea 373 adduct reacts with a primary amine and produces the desired 374 peptide coupling, but it has a low reaction rate. Hence, NHS 375 provides a more stable intermediate to react with a primary 376 amine forming an amide bond.⁵⁹ Then, a nucleophilic attack 377 by NHS may occur to form an N-succinimidyl ester, releasing a 378 soluble urea derivative as a by-product.⁵⁸ 379

The incorporation of antibodies increased the absorbance ³⁸⁰ intensity significantly due to an increased effect on the ³⁸¹ hydrodynamic-layer thickness of the AuNPs and changed their ³⁸² refractive index after conjugation.⁶⁰ In subsidiary experiments, ³⁸³ we observed that efficient plasmonic biosensors can be ³⁸⁴ obtained with either monoclonal or rabbit polyclonal antibod- ³⁸⁵ ies. The results in detecting inactivated viruses via DLS are ³⁸⁶ shown in Figure S3. We have therefore employed the ³⁸⁷ polyclonal antibody in the subsequent studies. The remaining ³⁸⁸ active sites after the antibody adsorption were blocked by BSA ³⁸⁹ addition, which caused a decrease in absorbance. The ³⁹⁰

www.acsami.org

Research Article



Figure 2. TEM micrographs of f-AuNPs after exposure to 250 and 6000 PFU mL⁻¹ of SARS-CoV-2.



Figure 3. Spectral absorption efficiency for clusters with (A) one, (B) two, and (C) three f-AuNPs. (D) and (G) show the electric field amplitude at the resonant frequency for Conf_1 and Conf_2 in (A), respectively. (E) and (H) show the electric field amplitude at the resonant frequency for Conf_1 and Conf_4 in (B), respectively. (F) and (I) show the electric field amplitude at the wavelength resonant $\lambda = 545$ nm and $\lambda = 642$ nm for Conf_ 3 in (C).

³⁹¹ attachment of antibodies was confirmed with the ATR spectra ³⁹² in Figure 1C, featuring O–H and C=O bands assigned to ³⁹³ stretching vibrations of -COOH groups of Au–MUA⁶¹ at ³⁹⁴ 3261 and 1433 cm⁻¹, which are slightly altered after the addition of PEG. The 3261 cm⁻¹ band was increased, while the $_{395}$ 1433 cm⁻¹ band almost disappeared after bioconjugation. The $_{396}$ functionalization of AuNPs affected their ζ -potential in Figure $_{397}$ 1D, ranging from -43.96 mV for AuNPs to -35.32 mV after 398



Figure 4. (A) UV–vis spectra for solutions containing inactivated SARS-CoV-2 virus at concentrations 0, 7, 144, 250, 418, 520, 636, 750, 860, 971, 1073, 1172, 1268, 1360, 1781, 2142, 2459, 2736, and 2980 PFU mL⁻¹. As the concentration increases, there is a change from a reddish to bluish color in the f-AuNP solution, which is indicated in the inset photos. We used two colors (red and blue) in the spectra to indicate this gradual change. (B) Averaged spectral absorption efficiency for various f-AuNPs in the aggregate clusters was obtained with FDTD simulations. The spectra are also shown in two colors to indicate the color change. The caption avg corresponds to the average spectral absorption efficiency of five different configurations in the FDTD simulations.

³⁹⁹ MUA coating. The ζ -potential further varied by attaching PEG ⁴⁰⁰ (-38.72 mV), antibodies (-31.64 mV), and BSA (-33.68 ⁴⁰¹ mV). When 2.0 μ g mL⁻¹ SARS-CoV-2 S protein was added, ⁴⁰² the ζ -potential decreased (in modulus) to -30.86 mV, as ⁴⁰³ expected from the literature.⁶² It is worth noting that BSA ⁴⁰⁴ improves the stability of AuNPs functionalized with PEG and ⁴⁰⁵ increases the ζ -potential,⁶³ which decreases when f-AuNPs ⁴⁰⁶ interact with the S protein of the SARS-CoV-2 virus.

TEM images of functionalized AuNPs (f-AuNPs) exposed to SARS-CoV-2 at 250 and 6000 PFU concentrations are shown in Figure 2. For both concentrations, clusters of different numbers of f-AuNPs are formed on the virus surface and may the not cover the entire surface. For 250 PFU, AuNP clusters trecover the viral particles as expected,⁶⁴ and several recover the viral particles as expected,⁶⁴ and several several the AuNPs increases with the SARS-CoV-2 to concentration,⁶⁵ as indicated in DLS measurements in Figure S3 in the Supporting Information. At 6000 PFU, some virus particles are not covered by AuNPs.

3.2. Simulations. The phenomenon of f-AuNP aggregation 418 419 and clustering on the virus surface forms the basis of the 420 colorimetric-based sensor proposed in this work. It is, 421 therefore, useful to model such interactions with FDTD 422 simulations, which allow one to analyze the light absorption 423 properties of the f-AuNP-virus system as a function of 424 interparticle distances and cluster sizes. Figure 3 shows the 425 absorption efficiency spectra for aggregates with different $_{426}$ numbers of f-AuNPs (1-3), including the spectrum for an 427 isolated f-AuNP. Since the f-AuNPs are randomly located, a 428 statistical study was necessary for which we employed five 429 different AuNP configurations for a given cluster size, denoted 430 with distinct captions (conf 1-conf 5). The caption avg 431 corresponds to the average of these configurations. We plot 432 the electric field amplitude at the resonant frequency for some 433 configurations, with the same color map scale for some cluster sizes. The FDTD results in Figure 3A indicate that an isolated 434 435 f-AuNP exhibits a strong LSPR around ~525 nm, in agreement 436 with the experimental spectrum in Figure 1B. The near-field electric distribution for the modified-AuNP at the plasmon 437 438 resonance wavelength is shown in Figure S4 in the Supporting 439 Information. Due to the LSPR effect, the electric field was 440 enhanced around the f-AuNP, with the enhancement locally 441 reaching up to 4.5 times the intensity of incident light.

On the other hand, when f-AuNP are aggregated on the 442 virus surface, the spectrum of different configurations differs in 443 absorption amplitude and frequency for maximum absorption 444 from the isolated f-AuNP. In particular, as the f-AuNP and 445 virus align with the polarization direction of the source (x- 446 axis), the absorption amplitude, resonance frequency, and 447 electromagnetic field in the region of contact increase (Figure 448 3D,G). Compared with the far-field response of an isolated f- 449 AuNP, the changes are minimal, which is not helpful for 450 colorimetric sensors. In contrast to the single nanoparticle- 451 virus system, a new set of plasmonic modes are seen at larger 452 wavelengths, with a significant enhancement of the electric 453 field (especially in the gap between nanoparticles, *i.e.*, at the 454 "hotspots"), for some dimer- or trimer-virus configurations 455 (Figure 3B,C,E,F,H,I). These new bands arise from the strong 456 near-field coupling of LSPRs of individual particles, which can 457 be understood in terms of the plasmon hybridization theory.⁶⁶ 458 From the field profiles, it could be inferred that plasmonic 459 coupling decreases with an increase in interparticle separation. 460 It is also possible to infer a strong dependence of the 461 plasmonic coupling upon the relative orientations of the cluster 462 concerning the polarization direction of the source. The more 463 aligned the f-AuNPs with the incident electric field, the 464 stronger the plasmonic coupling is. The absorption efficiency 465 spectra for aggregates with nanoparticle numbers 4, 8, 16, and 466 32 are shown in Figure S5. As the size of the cluster increases 467 for each random cluster configuration, there is an increase in 468 the probability of matching the axis in a hotspot with the 469 polarization light, which leads the optical absorption spectrum 470 to shift toward larger wavelengths, with the appearance of new 471 plasmonic bands. Since these variations are associated with 472 intense color variations of the solutions, the theoretical results 473 highlight the importance of aggregation and cluster formation 474 of f-AuNPs on the virus surface in Figure 2. Hence, the larger 475 the cluster, the easier it is to detect the virus. 476

3.3. Spectrophotometric and Naked-Eye Detection. A 477 quantitative determination of inactivated SARS-CoV-2 and the 478 Spike protein was performed with absorbance spectroscopy in 479 artificial saliva samples. Figure S6A shows a decrease in the 480 absorbance of the plasmonic band centered at 526 nm with 481 increasing concentration. This decrease was expected because 482 polyclonal antibodies may bind to the S protein at multiple 483 epitopes so that the S protein serves as a crosslinker to 484 aggregate f-AuNPs, thus quenching the plasmonic band. 485



Figure 5. (A) IDMAP visualization of data from the response with the plasmonic biosensor for SARS-CoV-2 virus (concentrations between 7 PFU and 2981 PFU) diluted in 0.5 mmol L^{-1} PBS (pH 7.4). (B) IDMAP visualization of the data for human saliva of healthy volunteers (with no symptoms, but not tested) (S₁–S₅), S_p and S_m (volunteers with no symptoms and who were tested negative for Covid-19), S_B Saliva diluted in 0.5 mmol L^{-1} PBS (pH 7.4), human saliva from volunteers tested negative (S) contaminated with 250, 1000, and 5000 PFU and positive PCR test of virus-carrying patients (C₁, C₂, and C₃). (C) ExMatrix representation using the RF model (9 Decision Trees–8 logic rules) for the binary problem, with YES or NO classes for positive and negative SARS-COV-2 patients, respectively.

486 Indeed, quenching of f-AuNPs was reported for other ⁶⁷ depending on the type of coupling, interparticle 487 analytes,⁶ 488 spacing, and local dielectric environment.⁶⁷ No other band is 489 formed since the Spike protein is small compared to the virus. 490 The protein reacts with the antibody on the f-AuNP surface, 491 and no clusters of f-AuNPs are formed. Hence, there are only 492 refractive index changes, which can only be detected at high 493 concentrations of SARS-CoV-2.68 In contrast, for the 494 inactivated SARS-CoV-2, Figure 4A shows that the plasmonic 495 band is redshifted with the concentration, and another band 496 appears at 636 nm. This new band results from the large 497 clusters of f-AuNPs on the virus surface, as discussed in Section 498 3.2, and leads to a change in the solution color from red (w/o499 virus) to blue (w/ virus). This is represented by changing the 500 color of the spectra in Figure 4A. The limit of detection 501 (LOD) was calculated from the parameters extracted by fitting 502 the experimental results using the Langmuir-Hill model for 503 the inactivated virus (Figure S7). LOD is 0.28 PFU mL⁻¹ by 504 taking the peak shift $(\Delta \lambda)$ from normalized spectra and 0.29 505 PFU mL⁻¹ using the absorbance ratio at 526 and 636 nm 506 (A_{526}/A_{636}) .

507 Figure 4B shows theoretical spectra that resemble the 508 experimental spectra in Figure 4A. To interpret the spectra, we 509 recall the theoretical calculations in Figure 3 (and Figure 5S in the Supporting Information), where the average absorption 510 efficiency was calculated for clusters with 1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 16, and 511 32 NPs and for the case in which the virus surface is entirely 512 covered by f-AuNPs (i.e., with 69 NPs). Comparing with the 513 theoretical results in Figure 4B, we infer that the absorption 514 band centered at 526 nm is mainly related to the absorption 515 response of isolated AuNPs, which are dominant at low 516 concentrations. The plasmonic response of the single nano- 517 particle-virus system and the transversal plasmonic couplings 518 in larger aggregates could also contribute to the appearance of 519 this band. On the other hand, as the virus concentration 520 increases, the f-AuNPs agglomerate on the virus surface to 521 form clusters of different sizes. Since these clusters exhibit 522 different optical responses, the plasmonic band becomes 523 broader. It should be noted that the predicted bands with 524 FDTD for complete virus coverage with f-AuNPs are not 525 observed experimentally. Therefore, the probability of 526 experimentally observing total virus coverage is small, 527 consistent with the SEM images in Figure 2.

Despite the evident changes in color, choosing one specific 529 wavelength to collect absorbance values from hundreds of 530 absorbance spectra is difficult. To facilitate interpretation by 531 the reader, we used a multidimensional projection technique, 532 referred to as Interactive Document Mapping (IDMAP).⁶⁹ 533



Figure 6. (A) Photo shows the colorimetric response of the plasmonic biosensor for different concentrations of inactivated SARS-CoV-2 in 0.5 mmol L^{-1} PBS, pH 7.4. (B) Schematic design for SARS-CoV-2 virus detection with a smartphone camera. (C) Violin plots for the colorimetric results from the SARS-CoV-2 analysis in saliva samples using the biosensor.

534 The FastMap method was used to reduce the 350 dimensions, 535 i.e., the UV-vis spectrum (400-750 nm), to only 2 536 dimensions.⁶⁹ Hence, one converts each spectrum into a single-colored dot on the visualization map. Evident discrim-537 538 ination of the samples with distinct concentrations of 539 inactivated virus, from 0 to 2981 PFU mL⁻¹, is obtained in 540 Figure 5A, with a silhouette coefficient of 0.97 (which varies 541 from -1 to 1).⁶⁹ To demonstrate the applicability of the f-542 AuNPs biosensor, we analyzed several saliva samples (in 543 triplicate, n = 3) obtained from 10 volunteers as follows: five 544 healthy volunteers (S_1-S_5) who were not tested; two ⁵⁴⁵ volunteers with negative PCR tests (S_p and S_M), three ⁵⁴⁶ volunteers tested positive for Covid-19 (C_1 , C_2 , and C_3). 547 Also, the saliva samples of one of the volunteers who tested s48 negative were diluted in 0.5 mmol L^{-1} PBS (samples S_B) and 549 then spiked with the inactivated virus at 250, 1000, and 5000 550 PFU mL⁻¹. There were, therefore, 14 distinct types of samples 551 whose spectra were projected in the IDMAP plot in Figure 5B. 552 There is a clear separation of samples from healthy individuals 553 on the left-upper side of the map (reddish dots), while the 554 saliva samples from contaminated patients and healthy individuals spiked with standards of 250 to 5000 PFU mL⁻¹ 555 556 are located on the bottom of the map (bluish dots). Diagnosis of COVID-19 could also be made with the data from the saliva 557 samples using supervised machine learning within the 558 multidimensional calibration space concept.⁷⁰ An accuracy of 559 100% was obtained in the binary classification (YES or NO for 560 the virus) when the random forest (RF) algorithm was applied. 561 562 The results are illustrated in the Explainable Matrix (ExMatrix) ⁵⁶³ representation⁷⁰ in Figure 5C. The space had five dimensions, 564 i.e., light absorption at five frequencies had to be used in the 565 eight rules of the RF algorithm. It is also significant that the 566 first three dimensions were already responsible for 98% of the 567 information.

3.4. Interferents and Other Applications. To assess the selectivity of the proposed plasmonic biosensor, proteins of s70 different viruses were chosen as interferents, including SARS, H1N1, and Dengue, at concentrations of 2×10^{-6} and 2×571 $10^{-4} \mu g \text{ mL}^{-1}$ in PBS. These data were projected in Figure S8 572 (Supporting Information) with SARS-CoV-2 in concentrations 573 between 7 and 2981 PFU mL⁻¹, with the interferents being 574 grouped around the data for low concentrations of the SARS- 575 CoV-2 virus. High (0.4–5.6 $\mu g \text{ mL}^{-1}$) and low concentrations 576 (2×10^{-6} and $2 \times 10^{-4} \mu g \text{ mL}^{-1}$) of Spike protein were also 577 projected with interferents to demonstrate how different the 578 proteins are from the viruses since they are placed in opposite 579 directions as depicted in the IDMAP plot of Figure S9. 580

The impact of the exposure of virus particles from biological 581 aerosols on sewage workers, communities, and wildlife should 582 be investigated, along with initiatives to reduce the load of 583 viruses in water reservoirs.¹⁶ This type of monitoring requires 584 simple methods for detecting and quantifying SARS-CoV-2 in 585 waters (and wastewaters), mainly to identify possible routes of 586 SARS-CoV-2 into water bodies.⁷¹ This work demonstrates that 587 the plasmonic biosensor can be applied in complex matrices. 588 We tested river water (without a precleaning step) samples 589 spiked with inactivated SARS-CoV-2 virus at five concen- 590 trations (7, 250, 1000, 5000, and 6000 PFU mL⁻¹). The 591 separation in Figure S10 for these data indicates that the 592 plasmonic biosensor can also be employed in environmental 593 monitoring for the presence of SARS-CoV-2. 594

3.5. Smartphone-Based Detection. A rapid, inexpensive, 595 and label-free method for real-time detection of SARS-CoV-2 596 was developed based on the surface plasmon resonance of f- 597 AuNPs utilizing a smartphone application, Color Grab (see 598 Figure S11 in the Supporting Information). A redshift in the 599 absorbance of colloidal AuNPs is observed with saliva samples 600 in the absence of the virus; when the inactivated virus is added, 601 as shown in Figure 6A, a color change from red to blue is 602 f6 observed, as expected from the literature.^{31,40} Figure S12 603 shows a blue color predominating for high PFU concentrations 604 (*i.e.*, >2000 PFU mL⁻¹). These color differences can be 605 distinguished with the naked eye by humans with normal 606 trichromatic vision who can combine in their brain three 607

Table 1. Analytical Performance of the Optical Biosensor Using the Digital Image Analysis of the Ratio of Blue-to-Red (B/R) Channels in Different Sample Media

assay	medium	analytical measurement	linear range ($n = 5$, PFU mL ⁻¹)	intercept	slope	R^2	LOD
Α	buffer	B/R	7-2000	-0.124	0.169	0.997	2.2
В	saliva	B/R	250-5000	-0.411	0.170	0.903	2.0
С	river	B/R	7-2000	-0.098	0.156	0.941	3.4

608 independent wavelengths, corresponding to red, green, and 609 blue, to generate the color observed.⁷² However, the 610 perception of color differences is not the same in all 611 individuals, and many users may suffer from problems such 612 as color blindness or color vision deficiency.⁷² Furthermore, 613 these devices may be affected by environmental conditions 614 (e.g., poor ambient lighting or flashing lights of emergency 615 vehicles) in addition to natural, person-to-person perceptual 616 differences. These limitations can be mitigated with image 617 processing,⁷² as we have done here. We employed the RGB 618 model, where each component of the color space may vary 619 between 0 and 255 in images obtained under controlled 620 ambient light with a simple box for photography and 621 accessories to hold a smartphone (Figure S11). Figure 6B 622 shows an illustration of the result displayed on a smartphone. 623 The results from the color analysis on the digital images are 624 given in the violin plot⁷³ of Figure 6C, featuring data from 625 artificial saliva and saliva of healthy donors and patients.

Using color Grab and RGB values from the images taken 626 627 with a smartphone camera, the absorbance ratio (blue/red) 628 was calculated to quantify the concentration of SARS-CoV-2 629 virus as in ref 73. Since the application Color Grab is 630 unavailable on some smartphones, we tested a different 631 approach for digital image analysis with a free, open-source 632 image processing software (ImageJ) to obtain the RGB values. 633 One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey's 634 test performed at low, medium, and high SARS-CoV-2 635 concentrations showed no significant differences (p < 0.05)636 between the images treated with a smartphone app and ImageJ. 637 The detection limit was estimated as described by the 638 International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry 639 (IUPAC).¹⁸ Table 1 shows the analytical parameters for 640 three types of assays. The first one was performed in PBS with 641 increasing concentrations of inactivated virus (assay A), 642 leading to a LOD of 2.2 PFU mL⁻¹. In Assay B, the saliva 643 samples from a volunteer with a negative PCR test were spiked 644 with the inactivated virus at concentrations from 250 to 5000 645 PFU mL⁻¹. The LOD obtained from the digital image analysis 646 was 2.0 PFU mL⁻¹. Assay C was conducted with samples 647 collected from river water samples without a precleaning step. 648 These samples were spiked with inactivated virus from 7 to 649 2000 PFU mL⁻¹ (see Figure S14 in the Supporting 650 Information), and a LOD of 3.4 PFU mL⁻¹ was obtained for 651 the digital image analysis. It is also worth mentioning that the 652 images from human saliva samples spiked with H1N1 and 653 dengue virus proteins could not be distinguished from those of 654 healthy volunteers.

4. CONCLUSIONS

655 The approach of functionalizing Au nanoparticles (f-AuNPs) 656 with polyclonal antibodies for SARS-CoV-2 was exploited in 657 plasmonic biosensors to detect inactivated viruses with a 658 colorimetric, instrument-free technique. The sensitivity is 659 sufficient for diagnosis using saliva, with a limit of detection $_{660}$ (LOD) of 2.2 and 2.0 PFU mL⁻¹ in assays with the inactivated

virus in PBS solutions and the saliva of a volunteer, 661 respectively. We found that the high sensitivity is related to 662 the processes involving the cluster formation of f-AuNPs 663 around the virus. As indicated in the FDTD computer 664 simulations, a significant color change is only observed when 665 large clusters are formed. The suitability of the biosensor with 666 f-AuNPs was confirmed with other detection principles, 667 including UV-vis spectroscopy and dynamic light scattering. 668 The plasmonic biosensor specific for SARS-CoV-2 was 669 demonstrated in experiments with potential interferents, such 670 as the proteins from SARS, H1N1, and Dengue viruses. 671 Detection of the UV-inactivated SARS-CoV-2 in human saliva 672 and river waters could be done within 5 min, as the liquid and 673 solutions changed color from red to purple, with possible 674 observation with naked eyes. This color change was exploited 675 in a smartphone application where images taken with the 676 smartphone camera were processed with Color Grab and 677 ImageJ software. With the latter, it was possible to determine 678 whether the sample was positive for SARS-CoV-2 and to 679 estimate the virus concentration. The app may also incorporate 680 image processing combined with machine learning to provide 681 COVID-19 diagnosis, whose accuracy in the tests performed 682 with the colorimetric assay was 100%. These results indicate 683 that plasmonic biosensors, like those developed here, can be 684 used for low-cost COVID-19 diagnosis and monitoring within 685 the point-of-care paradigm and in poor or remote locations. 686

Moreover, it is critical to consider the probability of 687 environmental contamination and spread through wastewater 688 and river waters. For example, places with poor sanitation and 689 inadequate treatment can lead to nonpoint contamination of 690 surface waters with SARS-CoV-2. For this reason, investigating 691 methodologies that allow the detection of pathogens in places 692 with little infrastructure is essential. Our proposed biosensor is 693 promising for using river water samples without any previous 694 treatment. 695

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

696

Supporting Information

697

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at 698 https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsami.2c15407. 699

Microscopy analysis; DLS results; FDTD simulation; 700 UV-vis spectra; IDMAP plot; pictures of colorimetric 701 samples and device; and comparison of main contribu- 702 tions from the literature (PDF) 703

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Elsa M. Materón – São Carlos Institute of Physics, University 706 of São Paulo, 13560-970 São Carlos, SP, Brazil; Instituto de 707 Química de São Carlos, Universidade de São Paulo, 13566- 708 590 São Carlos, SP, Brazil; o orcid.org/0000-0002-3382- 709 1193; Email: elsa materon@yahoo.com 710

704 705

711 Authors

- Faustino R. Gómez São Carlos Institute of Physics, 712
- University of São Paulo, 13560-970 São Carlos, SP, Brazil; 713 orcid.org/0000-0001-5758-0489 714
- Mariana B. Almeida Instituto de Química de São Carlos, 715
- Universidade de São Paulo, 13566-590 São Carlos, SP, 716

717 Brazil; Instituto Nacional de Ciência e Tecnologia de

718 Bioanalítica-INCTBio, 13083-970 Campinas, SP, Brazil

Flavio M. Shimizu – Department of Applied Physics, "Gleb 719

Wataghin" Institute of Physics (IFGW), University of 720

- Campinas (UNICAMP), 13083-859 Campinas, SP, Brazil; 721 orcid.org/0000-0002-4833-9893 722
- Ademar Wong Department of Chemistry, Federal University 723
- of São Carlos (UFSCar), 13560-970 São Carlos, São Paulo, 724 Brazil 725
- Kelcilene B. R. Teodoro Nanotechnology National 726

727 Laboratory for Agriculture, Embrapa Instrumentation,

- 13560-970 São Carlos, SP, Brazil; O orcid.org/0000-0003-728 4337-4849 729
- Filipe S. R. Silva Instituto de Química de São Carlos, 730

Universidade de São Paulo, 13566-590 São Carlos, SP, 731 Brazil; orcid.org/0000-0003-3085-8510

- 732
- Manoel J. A. Lima Instituto de Química de São Carlos, 733
- Universidade de São Paulo, 13566-590 São Carlos, SP, 734 Brazil 735
- Monara Kaelle S. C. Angelim Department of Genetics 736
- Evolution, Microbiology, and Immunology, Institute of 737
- Biology, University of Campinas, 13083-970 Campinas, SP, 738 Brazil 739
- Matias E. Melendez Molecular Carcinogenesis Program, 740 National Cancer Institute, 20231-050 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, 741 Brazil; o orcid.org/0000-0002-0643-6185 742
- Nelson Porras Physics Department, del Valle University, AA 743 25360 Cali, Colombia 744
- Pedro M. Vieira Department of Genetics Evolution, 745
- Microbiology, and Immunology, Institute of Biology, 746
- University of Campinas, 13083-970 Campinas, SP, Brazil 747
- 748 Daniel S. Correa – Nanotechnology National Laboratory for Agriculture, Embrapa Instrumentation, 13560-970 São 749
- Carlos, SP, Brazil; o orcid.org/0000-0002-5592-0627 750
- Emanuel Carrilho Instituto de Química de São Carlos, 751
- Universidade de São Paulo, 13566-590 São Carlos, SP, 752
- Brazil; Instituto Nacional de Ciência e Tecnologia de 753
- Bioanalítica-INCTBio, 13083-970 Campinas, SP, Brazil; 754
- orcid.org/0000-0001-7351-8220 755
- Osvaldo N. Oliveira, Jr. São Carlos Institute of Physics, 756 University of São Paulo, 13560-970 São Carlos, SP, Brazil; 757 orcid.org/0000-0002-5399-5860 758
- Ricardo B. Azevedo Laboratory of Nanobiotechnology, 759
- Department of Genetics and Morphology, Institute of 760
- Biological Sciences, University of Brasilia, 70910-900 761
- Brasilia, DF, Brazil 762
- Débora Goncalves São Carlos Institute of Physics, 763 University of São Paulo, 13560-970 São Carlos, SP, Brazil 764

765 Complete contact information is available at:

766 https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acsami.2c15407

767 Author Contributions

768 E.M.M.: Methodology, investigation, acquisition of photos 769 using a smartphone, synthesis of gold nanoparticles, validation, 770 conceptualization, project administration, data analysis, visual-771 ization, writing for the original draft, and editing. F.R.G.: 772 Conceptualization, acquisition of photos with a smartphone,

simulations, visualization, writing for the original draft, and 773 editing. M.B.A.: Discussion of results of the images, visual-774 ization, and writing for the original draft. F.M.S.: artificial saliva 775 methodology, discussion of results, data curation, visualization, 776 writing for the original draft, and editing. A.W.: Synthesis of 777 gold nanoparticles, collecting river samples, discussion of 778 results, writing for the original draft, and editing. K.B.R.T. and 779 D.S.C.: Investigation with DLS analysis, validation, and writing 780 for the original draft. F.S.R.S.: protein quantification, 781 acquisition of photos with a smartphone, validation, and 782 writing for the original draft. M.J.A.L.: Discussion of image 783 results, 3D printed box design and printing, and writing for the 784 original draft. M.K.S.C.A., M.E.M., and P.M.V.: inactivation of 785 virus and discussion of results and writing for the original draft. 786 N.P.: funding, computer simulations, and discussion of 787 theoretical simulations. E.C.: Supervision, funding, saliva 788 samples, writing for the original draft, and editing. O.N.O.Jr., 789 R.B.A., and D.G.: Supervision, funding, project administration, 790 writing for the original draft, and editing. All of the authors 791 discussed the results and edited/reviewed the manuscript. 792

Notes

793

798

The authors declare no competing financial interest. 794 The authors declare that they have no known competing 795 financial interests or personal relationships that could have 796 appeared to influence the work reported in this paper. 797

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by CAPES (Finance code 001), 799 INEO, CNPq (402816/2020-0, 304431/2020-6, 311757/ 800 2019-7, 465389/2014-7, 115857/2022-2), and FAPESP 801 (2018/22214-6, 2014/50867-3, 2019/19235-4, 2021/08387- 802 8, 2017/03879-4), Edital de projetos integrados de pesquisa 803 em áreas estratégicas (PIPAE). 804

REFERENCES

805

(1) Malekshahi, A.; Khanizadeh, S.; Fallahi, S.; Talei, G.; Birjandi, 806 M.; Hajizadeh, F. Diagnostic Power of One-Step and Two-Step RT- 807 QPCR Methods to SARS-CoV-2 Detection. BMC Infect. Dis. 2022, 808 22, No. 505. 809

(2) Bruzzone, B.; De Pace, V.; Caligiuri, P.; Ricucci, V.; Guarona, G.; 810 Pennati, B. M.; Boccotti, S.; Orsi, A.; Domnich, A.; Da Rin, G.; Icardi, 811 G. Comparative Diagnostic Performance of Rapid Antigen Detection 812 Tests for COVID-19 in a Hospital Setting. Int. J. Infect. Dis. 2021, 107, 813 215-218. 814

(3) Kendall, E. A.; Arinaminpathy, N.; Sacks, J. A.; Manabe, Y. C.; 815 Dittrich, S.; Schumacher, S. G.; Dowdy, D. W. Antigen-Based Rapid 816 Diagnostic Testing or Alternatives for Diagnosis of Symptomatic 817 COVID-19: A Simulation-Based Net Benefit Analysis. Epidemiology 818 2021, 32, 811-819. 819

(4) Warsi, I.; Khurshid, Z.; Shazam, H.; Umer, M. F.; Imran, E.; 820 Khan, M. O.; Slowey, P. D.; Goodson, J. M. Saliva Exhibits High 821 Sensitivity and Specificity for the Detection of SARS-COV-2. Diseases 822 2021, 9, 38.

(5) Wyllie, A. L.; Fournier, J.; Casanovas-Massana, A.; Campbell, 824 M.; Tokuyama, M.; Vijayakumar, P.; Warren, J. L.; Geng, B.; 825 Muenker, M. C.; Moore, A. J.; Vogels, C. B. F.; Petrone, M. E.; Ott, I. 826 M.; Lu, P.; Venkataraman, A.; Lu-Culligan, A.; Klein, J.; Earnest, R.; 827 Simonov, M.; Datta, R.; Handoko, R.; Naushad, N.; Sewanan, L. R.; 828 Valdez, J.; White, E. B.; Lapidus, S.; Kalinich, C. C.; Jiang, X.; Kim, D. 829 J.; Kudo, E.; Linehan, M.; Mao, T.; Moriyama, M.; Oh, J. E.; Park, A.; 830 Silva, J.; Song, E.; Takahashi, T.; Taura, M.; Weizman, O.-E.; Wong, 831 P.; Yang, Y.; Bermejo, S.; Odio, C. D.; Omer, S. B.; Dela Cruz, C. S.; 832 Farhadian, S.; Martinello, R. A.; Iwasaki, A.; Grubaugh, N. D.; Ko, A. 833 I. Saliva or Nasopharyngeal Swab Specimens for Detection of SARS- 834 CoV-2. N. Engl. J. Med. 2020, 383, 1283-1286. 835

841 (7) de Oliveira, C. M.; Brochi, L.; Scarpelli, L. C.; Lopes, A. C. W.;
842 Levi, J. E. SARS-CoV-2 Saliva Testing Is a Useful Tool for Covid-19
843 Diagnosis. J. Virol. Methods 2021, 296, 114241.

844 (8) Bustos-Garcia, B.; Garza-Manero, S.; Cano-Dominguez, N.; 845 Lopez-Sanchez, D. M.; Salgado-Montes de Oca, G.; Salgado-Aguayo, 846 A.; Recillas-Targa, F.; Avila-Rios, S.; Valdes, V. J. Development and 847 Testing of a Low-Cost Inactivation Buffer That Allows for Direct 848 SARS-CoV-2 Detection in Saliva. *Vaccines* **2022**, *10*, 730.

849 (9) Lin, Y. C.; Malott, R. J.; Ward, L.; Kiplagat, L.; Pabbaraju, K.;

850 Gill, K.; Berenger, B. M.; Hu, J.; Fonseca, K.; Noyce, R. S.; Louie, T.; 851 Evans, D. H.; Conly, J. M. Detection and Quantification of Infectious 852 Severe Acute Respiratory Coronavirus-2 in Diverse Clinical and

853 Environmental Samples. Sci. Rep. 2022, 12, No. 5418.

854 (10) Sender, R.; Bar-On, Y. M.; Gleizer, S.; Bernshtein, B.; Flamholz,
855 A.; Phillips, R.; Milo, R. The Total Number and Mass of SARS-CoV-2
856 Virions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2021, *118*, No. e2024815118.

856 Virions. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2021, 118, No. 22024813118. 857 (11) Johnson, T. J.; Nishida, R. T.; Sonpar, A. P.; Lin, Y. C. J.;

858 Watson, K. A.; Smith, S. W.; Conly, J. M.; Evans, D. H.; Olfert, J. S. 859 Viral Load of SARS-CoV-2 in Droplets and Bioaerosols Directly 860 Captured during Breathing, Speaking and Coughing. *Sci. Rep.* **2022**, 861 12, No. 3484.

(12) Bradburne, A. F.; Bynoe, M. L.; Tyrrell, D. A. J. Effects of a "New" Human Respiratory Virus in Volunteers. *Br. Med. J.* **1967**, *3*, 864 767–769.

865 (13) Tran, H. N.; Le, G. T.; Nguyen, D. T.; Juang, R.; Rinklebe, J.;
866 Bhatnagar, A.; Lima, E. C.; Iqbal, H. M. N.; Sarmah, A. K.; Chao, H.867 P. SARS-CoV-2 Coronavirus in Water and Wastewater: A Critical
868 Review about Presence and Concern. *Environ. Res.* 2021, 193,
869 No. 110265.

870 (14) de Oliveira, L. C.; Torres-Franco, A. F.; Lopes, B. C.; da Silva 871 Santos, B. S. Á.; Costa, E. A.; Costa, M. S.; Reis, M. T. P.; Melo, M. 872 C.; Polizzi, R. B.; Teixeira, M. M.; Mota, C. R. Viability of SARS-CoV-873 2 in River Water and Wastewater at Different Temperatures and 874 Solids Content. *Water Res.* **2021**, *195*, No. 117002.

875 (15) Haramoto, E.; Malla, B.; Thakali, O.; Kitajima, M. First 876 Environmental Surveillance for the Presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in 877 Wastewater and River Water in Japan. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2020**, *737*, 878 No. 140405.

879 (16) Bivins, A.; Greaves, J.; Fischer, R.; Yinda, K. C.; Ahmed, W.;
880 Kitajima, M.; Munster, V. J.; Bibby, K. Persistence of SARS-CoV-2 in
881 Water and Wastewater. *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.* 2020, 7, 937–942.
882 (17) Rashed, M. Z.; Kopechek, J. A.; Priddy, M. C.; Hamorsky, K.
883 T.; Palmer, K. E.; Mittal, N.; Valdez, J.; Flynn, J.; Williams, S. J. Rapid
884 Detection of SARS-CoV-2 Antibodies Using Electrochemical
885 Impedance-Based Detector. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 2021, 171,
886 No. 112709.

(18) Fabiani, L.; Saroglia, M.; Galatà, G.; De Santis, R.; Fillo, S.;
Luca, V.; Faggioni, G.; D'Amore, N.; Regalbuto, E.; Salvatori, P.;
Terova, G.; Moscone, D.; Lista, F.; Arduini, F. Magnetic Beads
Combined with Carbon Black-Based Screen-Printed Electrodes for
COVID-19: A Reliable and Miniaturized Electrochemical Immunosensor for SARS-CoV-2 Detection in Saliva. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 2021,
171, No. 112686.

894 (19) Saatçi, E.; Natarajan, S. State-of-the-Art Colloidal Particles and 895 Unique Interfaces-Based SARS-CoV-2 Detection Methods and 896 COVID-19 Diagnosis. *Curr. Opin. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2021**, *55*, 897 No. 101469.

(20) Lau, C. S.; Aw, T.-C. SARS-CoV-2 Antigen Testing Intervals:
Twice or Thrice a Week? *Diagnostics* 2022, *12*, 1039.

900 (21) Amaral, C.; Antunes, W.; Moe, E.; Duarte, A. G.; Lima, L. M. 901 P.; Santos, C.; Gomes, I. L.; Afonso, G. S.; Vieira, R.; Teles, H. S. S.; 902 Reis, M. S.; da Silva, M. A. R.; Henriques, A. M.; Fevereiro, M.; 903 Ventura, M. R.; Serrano, M.; Pimentel, C. A Molecular Test Based on 904 RT-LAMP for Rapid, Sensitive and Inexpensive Colorimetric Detection of SARS-CoV-2 in Clinical Samples. *Sci. Rep.* **2021**, *11*, 905 No. 16430. 906

www.acsami.org

(22) Xu, N.; Jin, S.; Wang, L. Metal Nanoparticles-Based 907 Nanoplatforms for Colorimetric Sensing: A Review. *Rev. Anal.* 908 *Chem.* **2021**, 40, 1–11. 909

(23) Liang, P.; Guo, Q.; Zhao, T.; Wen, C. Y.; Tian, Z.; Shang, Y.; 910 Xing, J.; Jiang, Y.; Zeng, J. Ag Nanoparticles with Ultrathin Au Shell- 911 Based Lateral Flow Immunoassay for Colorimetric and SERS Dual- 912 Mode Detection of SARS-CoV-2 IgG. *Anal. Chem.* **2022**, *94*, 8466– 913 8473. 914

(24) Ventura, B. D.; Cennamo, M.; Minopoli, A.; Campanile, R.; 915 Censi, S. B.; Terracciano, D.; Portella, G.; Velotta, R. Colorimetric 916 Test for Fast Detection of SARS-COV-2 in Nasal and Throat Swabs. 917 *ACS Sens.* **2020**, *5*, 3043–3048. 918

(25) Han, H.; Wang, C.; Yang, X.; Zheng, S.; Cheng, X.; Liu, Z.; 919 Zhao, B.; Xiao, R. Rapid Field Determination of SARS-CoV-2 by a 920 Colorimetric and Fluorescent Dual-Functional Lateral Flow Immuno- 921 assay Biosensor. *Sens. Actuators, B* **2022**, 351, No. 130897. 922

(26) Yadav, S.; Sadique, M. A.; Ranjan, P.; Kumar, N.; Singhal, A.; 923 Srivastava, A. K.; Khan, R. Sers Based Lateral Flow Immunoassay for 924 Point-of-Care Detection of Sars-Cov-2 in Clinical Samples. *ACS Appl.* 925 *Bio Mater.* **2021**, *4*, 2974–2995. 926

(27) Georgiou, P. G.; Guy, C. S.; Hasan, M.; Ahmad, A.; Richards, S. 927 J.; Baker, A. N.; Thakkar, N. V.; Walker, M.; Pandey, S.; Anderson, N. 928 R.; Grammatopoulos, D.; Gibson, M. I. Plasmonic Detection of 929 SARS-CoV-2 Spike Protein with Polymer-Stabilized Glycosylated 930 Gold Nanorods. *ACS Macro Lett.* **2022**, *11*, 317–322. 931

(28) Antiochia, R. Nanobiosensors as New Diagnostic Tools for 932 SARS, MERS and COVID-19: From Past to Perspectives. *Microchim.* 933 *Acta* **2020**, *187*, No. 639. 934

(29) Lew, T. T. S.; Aung, K. M. M.; Ow, S. Y.; Amrun, S. N.; 935 Sutarlie, L.; Ng, L. F. P.; Su, X. Epitope-Functionalized Gold 936 Nanoparticles for Rapid and Selective Detection of SARS-CoV-2 IgG 937 Antibodies. *ACS Nano* **2021**, *15*, 12286–12297. 938

(30) Huang, X.; Jain, P. K.; El-Sayed, I. H.; El-Sayed, M. A. Gold 939 Nanoparticles: Interesting Optical Properties and Recent Applications 940 in Cancer Diagnostics and Therapy. *Nanomedicine* **2007**, *2*, 681–693. 941

(31) Draz, M. S.; Shafiee, H. Applications of Gold Nanoparticles in 942 Virus Detection. *Theranostics* **2018**, *8*, 1985–2017. 943

(32) Hall, W. P.; Ngatia, S. N.; Van Duyne, R. P. LSPR Biosensor 944 Signal Enhancement Using Nanoparticle-Antibody Conjugates. J. 945 Phys. Chem. C 2011, 115, 1410–1414. 946

(33) Mayer, K. M.; Hafner, J. H. Localized Surface Plasmon 947 Resonance Sensors. *Chem. Rev.* 2011, 111, 3828–3857. 948

(34) Li, M.; Cushing, S. K.; Wu, N. Plasmon-Enhanced Optical 949 Sensors: A Review. *Analyst* **2015**, *140*, 386–406. 950

(35) Pramanik, A.; Gao, Y.; Patibandla, S.; Mitra, D.; McCandless, 951 M. G.; Fassero, L. A.; Gates, K.; Tandon, R.; Chandra Ray, P. The 952 Rapid Diagnosis and Effective Inhibition of Coronavirus Using Spike 953 Antibody Attached Gold Nanoparticles. *Nanoscale Adv.* **2021**, *3*, 954 1588–1596. 955

(36) Herizchi, R.; Abbasi, E.; Milani, M.; Akbarzadeh, A. Current 956 Methods for Synthesis of Gold Nanoparticles. *Artif. Cells, Nanomed.*, 957 *Biotechnol.* **2016**, *44*, 596–602. 958

(37) Patterson, E. I.; Prince, T.; Anderson, E. R.; Casas-Sanchez, A.; 959 Smith, S. L.; Cansado-Utrilla, C.; Solomon, T.; Griffiths, M. J.; 960 Acosta-Serrano, Á.; Turtle, L.; Hughes, G. L. Methods of Inactivation 961 of SARS-CoV-2 for Downstream Biological Assays. J. Infect. Dis. **2020**, 962 222, 1462–1467. 963

(38) Lo, C. W.; Matsuura, R.; Iimura, K.; Wada, S.; Shinjo, A.; 964 Benno, Y.; Nakagawa, M.; Takei, M.; Aida, Y. UVC Disinfects SARS- 965 CoV-2 by Induction of Viral Genome Damage without Apparent 966 Effects on Viral Morphology and Proteins. *Sci. Rep.* **2021**, *11*, 967 No. 13804. 968

(39) Loveday, E. K.; Hain, K. S.; Kochetkova, I.; Hedges, J. F.; 969 Robison, A.; Snyder, D. T.; Brumfield, S. K.; Young, M. J.; Jutila, M. 970 A.; Chang, C. B.; Taylor, M. P. Effect of Inactivation Methods on 971 Sars-Cov-2 Virion Protein and Structure. *Viruses* **2021**, *13*, 562. 972 973 (40) Karakuş, E.; Erdemir, E.; Demirbilek, N.; Liv, L. Colorimetric 974 and Electrochemical Detection of SARS-CoV-2 Spike Antigen with a 975 Gold Nanoparticle-Based Biosensor. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2021**, *1182*, 976 No. 338939.

977 (41) Guimarães, T. F.; Vital, I. C. F.; de Sousa, E. G. R.; Boniatti, J.; 978 Bandini, T. B.; Carr, O.; Oliveira, O. N.; Shimizu, F. M.; da Fonseca, 979 L. B.; Viçosa, A. L. Investigation of Chloroquine Resinate Feasibility 980 and In Vitro Taste Masking Evaluation for Pediatric Formulations. 981 AAPS PharmSciTech **2022**, 23, No. 69.

982 (42) Bhattarai, K. R.; Kim, H. R.; Chae, H. J. Compliance with Saliva 983 Collection Protocol in Healthy Volunteers: Strategies for Managing 984 Risk and Errors. *Int. J. Med. Sci.* **2018**, *15*, 823–831.

985 (43) Peters, R. J. B.; van Bemmel, G.; Milani, N. B. L.; den Hertog, 986 G. C. T.; Undas, A. K.; van der Lee, M.; Bouwmeester, H. Detection 987 of Nanoparticles in Dutch Surface Waters. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2018**, 988 621, 210–218.

989 (44) Zhang, L.; Mazouzi, Y.; Salmain, M.; Liedberg, B.; Boujday, S.
990 Antibody-Gold Nanoparticle Bioconjugates for Biosensors: Synthesis,
991 Characterization and Selected Applications. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 2020,
992 165, No. 112370.

993 (45) Liao, H.; Hafner, J. H. Gold Nanorod Bioconjugates. *Chem.* 994 *Mater.* **2005**, *17*, 4636–4641.

995 (46) Harron, D. W. G. Technical Requirements for Registration of
996 Pharmaceuticals for Human Use: The ICH Process. In *The Textbook*997 of *Pharmaceutical Medicine*; Blackwell Publishing Ltd: Oxford, U.K.,
998 2013; Vol. 1994, pp 447–460. DOI: 10.1002/9781118532331.ch23.
999 (47) Johnson, P. B.; Christy, R. W. Optical Constants of the Noble

1000 Metals. *Phys. Rev. B* 1972, *6*, 4370–4379.
1001 (48) Baidya, S.; Hassan, A. M. A Computational Study of COVID-

1002 19 Detection Using Colorimetric Plasmonic Sensors. In 2021 IEEE
1003 International Symposium on Antennas and Propagation and USNC1004 URSI Radio Science Meeting (APS/URSI); IEEE, 2021; pp 1731–1732.
1005 DOI: 10.1109/APS/URSI47566.2021.9704523.

1006 (49) Minopoli, A.; Scardapane, E.; Acunzo, A.; Campanile, R.; Della 1007 Ventura, B.; Velotta, R. Analysis of the Optical Response of a SARS-1008 CoV-2-Directed Colorimetric Immunosensor. *AIP Adv.* **2021**, *11*, 1009 No. 065319.

1010 (50) Ansar, S. M.; Chakraborty, S.; Kitchens, C. L. PH-Responsive 1011 Mercaptoundecanoic Acid Functionalized Gold Nanoparticles and 1012 Applications in Catalysis. *Nanomaterials* **2018**, *8*, 339.

1013 (51) Raman, A.; Jaime, C.; Puntes, V. F. Domain Formation and 1014 Conformational Changes in Gold Nanoparticle Conjugates Studied 1015 Using DPD Simulations. *Langmuir* **2017**, *33*, 14502–14512.

1016 (52) Fujiwara, K.; Watarai, H.; Itoh, H.; Nakahama, E.; Ogawa, N. 1017 Measurement of Antibody Binding to Protein Immobilized on Gold 1018 Nanoparticles by Localized Surface Plasmon Spectroscopy. *Anal.* 1019 *Bioanal. Chem.* **2006**, *386*, 639–644.

1020 (53) Guvenc, B.; Yesilay, G.; Yavuz, Y.; Yilmaz, B.; Culha, M. 1021 Electrophysiological Effects of Polyethylene Glycol Modified Gold 1022 Nanoparticles on Mouse Hippocampal Neurons. *Heliyon* **2020**, *6*, 1023 No. e05824.

1024 (54) Bravin, C.; Amendola, V. Plasmonic Absorption in Antigen-1025 Induced Aggregated Gold Nanoparticles: Toward a Figure of Merit 1026 for Optical Nanosensors. *ACS Appl. Nano Mater.* **2022**, *5*, 578–586. 1027 (55) Tsai, T. C.; Liu, C. W.; Wu, Y. C.; Ondevilla, N. A. P.; Osawa, 1028 M.; Chang, H. C. In Situ Study of EDC/NHS Immobilization on 1029 Gold Surface Based on Attenuated Total Reflection Surface-Enhanced 1030 Infrared Absorption Spectroscopy (ATR-SEIRAS). *Colloids Surf., B* 1031 **2019**, *175*, 300–305.

1032 (56) Lee, J.; Zhou, H.; Lee, J. Small Molecule Induced Self-Assembly 1033 of Au Nanoparticles. *J. Mater. Chem.* **2011**, *21*, 16935.

1034 (57) Busch, R. T.; Karim, F.; Weis, J.; Sun, Y.; Zhao, C.; Vasquez, E. 1035 S. Optimization and Structural Stability of Gold Nanoparticle-1036 Antibody Bioconjugates. *ACS Omega* **2019**, *4*, 15269–15279.

1037 (58) Ngunjiri, J. N.; Stark, D. J.; Tian, T.; Briggman, K. A.; Garno, J. 1038 C. Immobilization of Proteins on Carboxylic Acid Functionalized 1039 Nanopatterns. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2013**, *405*, 1985–1993.

1040 (59) Sam, S.; Touahir, L.; Salvador Andresa, J.; Allongue, P.; 1041 Chazalviel, J. N.; Gouget-Laemmel, A. C.; De Villeneuve, C. H.; Moraillon, A.; Ozanam, F.; Gabouze, N.; Djebbar, S. Semiquantitative 1042 Study of the EDC/NHS Activation of Acid Terminal Groups at 1043 Modified Porous Silicon Surfaces. *Langmuir* **2010**, *26*, 809–814. 1044 (60) Endo, T.; Kerman, K.; Nagatani, N.; Hiepa, H. M.; Kim, D. K.; 1045

Yonezawa, Y.; Nakano, K.; Tamiya, E. Multiple Label-Free Detection 1046 of Antigen-Antibody Reaction Using Localized Surface Plasmon 1047 Resonance-Based Core-Shell Structured Nanoparticle Layer Nano-1048 chip. *Anal. Chem.* **2006**, 78, 6465–6475.

(61) Meng, L.; Yin, J. H.; Yuan, Y.; Xu, N. 11-Mercaptoundecanoic 1050 Acid Capped Gold Nanoclusters as a Fluorescent Probe for Specific 1051 Detection of Folic Acid: Via a Ratiometric Fluorescence Strategy. *RSC* 1052 *Adv.* **2018**, *8*, 9327–9333. 1053

(62) Garcia-Hernandez, C.; Freese, A. K.; Rodriguez-Mendez, M. L.; 1054 Wanekaya, A. K. In Situ Synthesis, Stabilization and Activity of 1055 Protein-Modified Gold Nanoparticles for Biological Applications. 1056 *Biomater. Sci.* **2019**, *7*, 2511–2519. 1057

(63) Manson, J.; Kumar, D.; Meenan, B. J.; Dixon, D. Polyethylene 1058 Glycol Functionalized Gold Nanoparticles: The Influence of Capping 1059 Density on Stability in Various Media. *Gold Bull.* **2011**, *44*, 99–105. 1060

(64) Moitra, P.; Alafeef, M.; Dighe, K.; Frieman, M. B.; Pan, D. 1061 Selective Naked-Eye Detection of SARS-CoV-2 Mediated by N Gene 1062 Targeted Antisense Oligonucleotide Capped Plasmonic Nano- 1063 particles. ACS Nano 2020, 14, 7617–7627. 1064

(65) da Silva, P. B.; da Silva, J. R.; Rodrigues, M. C.; Vieira, J. A.; de 1065 Andrade, I. A.; Nagata, T.; Santos, A. S.; da Silva, S. W.; da Rocha, M. 1066 C. O.; Báo, S. N.; Moraes-Vieira, P. M.; Proença-Modena, J.; Angelim, 1067 M. K. C.; de Souza, G. F.; Muraro, S. P.; de Barros, A. L. B.; de Souza 1068 Martins, G. A.; Ribeiro-Dias, F.; Machado, G.; Fessel, M. R.; 1069 Chudzinski-Tavassi, A. M.; Ronconi, C. M.; Gonçalves, D.; Curi, R.; 1070 Oliveira, O. N.; Azevedo, R. B. Detection of SARS-CoV-2 Virus via 1071 Dynamic Light Scattering Using Antibody-Gold Nanoparticle 1072 Bioconjugates against Viral Spike Protein. *Talanta* **2022**, *243*, 1073 No. 123355.

(66) Brandl, D. W.; Mirin, N. A.; Nordlander, P. Plasmon Modes of 1075 Nanosphere Trimers and Quadrumers. J. Phys. Chem. B **2006**, 110, 1076 12302–12310. 1077

(67) Raikar, U. S.; Tangod, V. B.; Mastiholi, B. M.; Fulari, V. J. 1078 Fluorescence Quenching Using Plasmonic Gold Nanoparticles. *Opt.* 1079 *Commun.* 2011, 284, 4761–4765. 1080

(68) Zhang, Y.; Fei, W.-W.; Jia, N.-Q. A Facile Method for the 1081 Detection of DNA by Using Gold Nanoparticle Probes Coupled with 1082 Dynamic Light Scattering. *Nanoscale Res. Lett.* **2012**, *7*, No. 564. 1083

(69) Paulovich, F. V.; Moraes, M. L.; Maki, R. M.; Ferreira, M.; 1084 Oliveira, O. N., Jr.; de Oliveira, M. C. F. Information Visualization 1085 Techniques for Sensing and Biosensing. *Analyst* **2011**, *136*, 1344. 1086

(70) Neto, M. P.; Paulovich, F. V. Explainable Matrix - Visualization 1087 for Global and Local Interpretability of Random Forest Classification 1088 Ensembles. *IEEE Trans. Visual Comput. Graphics* **2021**, *27*, 1427–1089 1437. 1090

(71) Ihsanullah, I.; Bilal, M.; Naushad, M. Coronavirus 2 (SARS- 1091 CoV-2) in Water Environments: Current Status, Challenges and 1092 Research Opportunities. *J. Water Process Eng.* **2021**, *39*, No. 101735. 1093

(72) Woolf, M. S.; Dignan, L. M.; Scott, A. T.; Landers, J. P. Digital 1094 Postprocessing and Image Segmentation for Objective Analysis of 1095 Colorimetric Reactions. *Nat. Protoc.* **2021**, *16*, 218–238. 1096

(73) Brinati, D.; Campagner, A.; Ferrari, D.; Locatelli, M.; Banfi, G.; 1097
Cabitza, F. Detection of COVID-19 Infection from Routine Blood 1098
Exams with Machine Learning: A Feasibility Study. J. Med. Syst. 2020, 1099
44, No. 135.